Predisposing Germline Mutations in an Unselected Academic Breast Cancer (BC) Cohort



Judy E. Garber, MD, PhD¹; Nadine M. Tung, MD²; Eric P. Elkin, MPH³; John Kidd, MS³; Brian A. Allen, MS³; Nanda U. Singh, PhD³; Richard Wenstrup, MD³; Anne-Renee Hartman, MD³; Eric P. Winer, MD¹; Nancy U. Lin, MD¹



1. Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; 2. Beth-Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA; 3. Myriad Genetic Laboratories, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT

BACKGROUND

- Evaluation of women with breast cancer (BC) for germline mutations associated with hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (HBOC) has become increasingly common due to its impact on management.
- Guidelines for genetic evaluation indicate testing for cases with early onset, triple negative disease or family cancer
- However, the majority of breast cancer occurs in patients without these high risk characteristics.
- The prevalence of mutations associated with HBOC has not been well characterized in a cohort unselected for these traits.

METHODS

Patients

- We performed a cross sectional study using DNA from blood samples from consecutive new invasive BC patients seen at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (01/01/2010 to 07/31/2012) who consented to research.
- Patients with a previous breast cancer were excluded.
- Subjects were otherwise unselected.

Genetic Testing

- Mutations in 25 cancer genes were identified using a next generation sequencing based panel.
- Germline sequence variations and large rearrangements were classified for pathogenicity (Deleterious or Suspected Deleterious).
- Analyzed genes were categorized into 3 groups.
- BRCA1, BRCA2
- Breast Cancer Susceptibility Genes: ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDH1, CHEK2, NBN, PALB2, PTEN, STK11, TP53
- Other Cancer Susceptibility Genes: APC, BMPR1A, CDK4, CDKN2A, EPCAM, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, biallelic MUTYH, PMS2, RAD51C, RAD51D, SMAD4

Statistical Analysis

- Participant characteristics and sequencing results were summarized with descriptive statistics, including medians, means, and standard deviations for continuous data.
- For categorical data, proportions with 95% confidence intervals were calculated by the Clopper-Pearson method.
- Demographic, clinical, and pathologic characteristics were compared using the chi-square test (categorical variables) and the t-test/ANOVA (continuous variables). P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Table 1. Clinical and Tumor Characteristics in Study Cohort (n=488)

Study Characteristic	Value	N	%
Age at Diagnosis	Mean (SD)	50.3 (11.3)	
	Median	49	
	Range	28-88	
Age at Diagnosis	≤45 years	180	36.9
	46-60 years	199	40.8
	>60 years	109	22.3
Race/Ethnicity	Ashkenazi Jewish (AJ)	38	7.8
	Non-Hispanic White	397	81.4
	(not AJ)		
	Hispanic	17	3.5
	African-American	12	2.5
	Asian	10	2.0
	Other	14	2.9
Jewish Ashkenazi	Yes	38	7.8
Ethnicity	No	450	92.2
Breast Cancer	TNBC	87	17.8
Subtypes: Receptor	HR+/HER2-	301	61.7
Status	HR-/HER2+	37	7.6
	HR+/HER2+	63	12.9
Histology	Ductal	357	73.2
	Lobular	36	7.4
	Ductal & Lobular	68	13.9
	Other	27	5.5
Grade	1	60	12.3
	2	181	37.2
	3	246	50.5
Stage	I	185	37.9
	II	218	44.7
	III	85	17.4
Bilateral Disease	Yes	9	1.8
	No	479	98.2
Patient History of Prior	Yes	41	8.4
Cancer*	No	447	91.6
FDR with Any Cancer*A	Yes	271	56.7
	No	207	43.3
FDR/SDR with Any	Yes	403	84.3
Cancer*^	No	75	15.7
FDR/SDR with Breast	Yes	234	49.0
or Ovarian Cancer*^	No	244	51.0
FDR/SDR with Breast Cancer <50yrs, Male Breast Cancer, or	Yes	89	18.6
Ovarian cancer (any age)*^	No	389	81.4

Table 2. Germline Mutations Identified (n=488)

Genes	# of Patients with DM	% with DM	95% CI	
Any Deleterious Mutation*	52 (55 total)	10.7	8.1-13.7	
All Genes Related to Breast Cancer*	49	10.0	7.5-13.1	
BRCA1 or BRCA2	30	6.1	4.2-8.7	
BRCA1*	18	3.7	2.2-5.8	
BRCA2*	12	2.5	1.3-4.3	
Other Genes Related to Breast Cancer*	20 (21 total)	4.1	2.5-6.3	
ATM*	4	0.8	0.2-2.1	
BRIP1	4	8.0	0.2-2.1	
CHEK2*^	10	2.1	1.0- 3.7	
NBN	1	0.2	0.01-1.1	
PALB2	1	0.2	0.01-1.1	
PTEN	1	0.2	0.01-1.1	
Genes Unrelated to Breast Cancer*	4	0.8	0.2-2.1	
MSH6	1	0.2	0.01-1.1	
PMS2*	1	0.2	0.01-1.1	
RAD51C	1	0.2	0.01-1.1	
RAD51D	1	0.2	0.01-1.1	
CI: confidence interval				

*Three patients had DMs in two different genes (BRCA2 and ATM, BRCA1 and PMS2,

^8 of 10 DMs in *CHEK2* were 1100delc. No DMs were identified in the following genes: BARD1; CDH1; STK11; TP53; APC; BMPR1A; CDK4; CDKN2A p14; CDKN2A p16; EPCAM; MLH1; MSH2; MUTYH

4 women had a mutation in another cancer susceptibility gene (Table 2).

- One woman had a mutation in both PMS2 and BRCA1.
- Of the 49 women with BC-related mutations, 20 (40.8%) had BC diagnosed after age 45 (Table 3).
- For BRCA1/2, the prevalence of DMs decreased with age at breast cancer diagnosis.
- The prevalence of DMs in other breast cancer genes did not change with age.
- BRCA1/2 mutations were found in 18.4% of Ashkenazi Jewish patients and 5.1% of non-Ashkenazi patients.
- Among 87 women with TNBC, 15 (17.2%) had a deleterious germline mutation, with 12 (13.8%) in *BRCA1/2* (Table 4).
- Among 243 women with no first or second degree relative with breast or ovarian cancer, 20 (8.2%) had a mutation.

RESULTS

- 488 samples from eligible subjects were included (Table 1).
- The mean age of breast cancer diagnosis was 50.3 years.
- A total of 55 deleterious mutations (DMs) were identified in 52/488 women tested (Table 2).
- 49/488 (10.0%, 95% CI 7.5-13.1%) women tested had at least one DM in a gene associated with breast cancer.
- BRCA1/2 mutations were found in 6.1% [95% CI 4.2-8.7%].
- Mutations in other BCassociated genes were found in 4.1% [95% CI 2.5-6.3%], particularly CHEK2 (2.0%, 95% CI 1.0, 3.7%)
- Two women were found to have 2 mutations in breast cancerpredisposing genes (BRCA2 and ATM, CHEK2 and ATM).

Table 3. Frequency of Deleterious Mutation by Age at Breast Cancer Diagnosis

	≤45 years (N=180)		46-60 yea	ars (N=199)	>60 years (N=109)		
Genes	# of Patients with DM	% with DM (95% CI)	# of Patients with DM	% with DM (95% CI)	# of Patients with DM	% with DM (95% CI)	
Any Deleterous Mutation*	30	16.7% (11.5%-22.9%)	15	7.5% (4.3%-12.1%)	7	6.4% (2.6%-12.8%)	
All Genes Related to Breast Cancer*	29	16.1% (11.1%-22.3%)	14	7.0% (3.9%-11.5%)	6	5.5% (2.1%-11.6%)	
BRCA1 or BRCA2	22	12.2% (7.8%-17.9%)	6	3.0% (1.1%-6.5%)	2	1.8% (0.2%-6.5%)	
Other Genes Related to Breast Cancer*	8	4.4% (1.9%-8.6%)	8	4.0% (1.8%-7.8%)	4	3.7% (1.0%-9.1%)	
Genes Unrelated to Breast Cancer*	2	1.1% (0.1%-4.0%)	1	0.5% (0.01%-2.8%)	1	0.9% (0.02%-5.0%)	

Table 4. Deleterious Mutations by Breast Cancer Subtype (N=488)

TNBC (N=87)		HR+/HER2- (N=301)		HR-/HER2+ (N=37)		HR+/HER2+ (N=63)	
# of Patients with DM	% with DM (95% CI)			# of Patients with DM	% with DM (95% CI)	# of Patients with DM	% with DM (95% CI)
15	17.2% (10.0%-26.8%)	26	8.6% (5.7%-12.4%)	4	10.8% (3.0%-25.4%)	7	11.1% (4.6%-21.6%)
14	16.1% (9.1%-25.5%)	24	8.0% (5.2%-11.6%)	4	10.8% (3.0%-25.4%)	7	11.1% (4.6%-21.6%)
12	13.8% (7.3%-22.9%)	15	5.0% (2.8%-8.1%)	2	5.4% (0.7%-18.2%)	1	1.6% (0.04%-8.5%)
2	2.3% (0.3%-8.1%)	9	3.0% (1.4%-5.6%)	2	5.4% (0.7%-18.2%)	7	11.1% (4.6%-21.6%)
2	2.3% (0.3%-8.1%)	2	0.7% (0.1%-2.4%)	0	0.0% (0.0%-9.5%)	0	0.0% (0.0%-5.7%)
	# of Patients with DM 15 14 2	# of Patients with DM (95% CI) 15	# of Patients with DM (95% CI) # of Patients with DM 15	# of Patients with DM (95% CI) 15	# of Patients with DM (95% CI)	# of Patients with DM (95% CI) # of Patients with DM (95% CI)	# of Patients with DM (95% CI) # of Patients with DM (95% CI)

*One TNBC patient had DMs in both *BRCA1* and *PMS2*. One HR+/HER2+ patient had DMs in both *BRCA2* and *ATM*. One HR–/HER2+ patient had DMs in both *BRCA1*

CONCLUSIONS

- In a single academic institution, 10.7% of new breast cancer patients had a germline mutation in a breast cancer predisposition gene, with 6.1% in BRCA1/2 and 4.1% in another breast cancerpredisposition gene.
- The prevalence of non-BRCA mutations is similar to series of patients from high risk clinics and with family cancer history.
- Expanded testing identifies additional predisposing mutations, the utility of which are being defined for the care of breast cancer patients and their families. Carriers will often not be identified by factors predicting mutations in more established genes.

^{*}Excludes in situ cancers and non-melanoma skin cancers.

^{^10} Patients were missing family history information. These patients are excluded from analysis. If age at diagnosis was unavailable, it was conservatively considered to be >50 years.